Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/687,541	MORGENSTERN, DAVID A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer	1795	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Alix Echelmeyer.	(3)Vincent Keil.		
(2) <u>Susy Tsang-Foster</u> .	(4) <u>James Davis</u> .		
Date of Interview: 18 June 2008.			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>27</u> .			
ldentification of prior art discussed: <u>Marino et al., Sargent et al.</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Discussed combination of Marino et al. and Sargent et al.</u> , <u>particularly the motivation provided by the Office to combine the references. Also discussed Applicant's argument of unexpected results and the comparison of the data sets of Marino et al. and the instant invention.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			